

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 2-14-18 Inspector: John We

Time: ~~12:30~~ 12:30 Weather Conditions: Warm

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	✓		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	✓		
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		✓	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**

**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 2-7-18 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: ~~6:00~~ 3:00 Weather Conditions: Cloudy

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	✓		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	✓		
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		✓	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKR LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 1-31-18 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 7:30 Weather Conditions: cold

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 1-24-15 Inspector: Chris W...

Time: 8:30 Weather Conditions: cloudy ~~☉~~ Call

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	✓		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	✓		
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		✓	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**

**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 1-17-18 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 11:30 Weather Conditions: Sunny

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	✓		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	✓		
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		✓	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Additional Notes:

---



---



---